

Free Exercise Clause and Establishment Clause Text Set

Inquiry Compelling Question: Does America really have separation of church and state?

Annotation Station

Highlight in YELLOW phrases that confuse you. Use context clues to figure out their meaning.
Highlight in RED examples where rights have been violated, denied, or limited.
Highlight in GREEN examples where rights have been protected or granted.
☆ Star the items that make you wonder and wish to explore further.

ALL READ:

First Amendment, 1791, The Constitution of the United States

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.



Inquiry Compelling Question: Does America really have separation of church and state?

Annotation Station

Highlight in YELLOW phrases that confuse you. Use context clues to figure out their meaning.
Highlight in RED examples where rights have been violated, denied, or limited.
Highlight in GREEN examples where rights have been protected or granted.
☆ Star the items that make you wonder and wish to explore further.

Team A

Letter from Thomas Jefferson to the Danbury Baptist Association (1802)

Believing with you that religion is a matter which lies solely between man and his God, that he owes account to none other for his faith or his worship, that the legislative powers of government reach actions only, and not opinions, I contemplate with sovereign reverence that act of the whole American people which declared that their legislature should "make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof," thus building a wall of separation between church and State. Adhering to this expression of the supreme will of the nation in behalf of the rights of conscience, I shall see with sincere satisfaction the progress of those sentiments which tend to restore to man all his natural rights, convinced he has no natural right in opposition to his social duties.

Rephrase in your own words:

Inquiry Compelling Question: Does America really have separation of church and state?

Annotation Station



Highlight in YELLOW phrases that confuse you. Use context clues to figure out their meaning.
Highlight in RED examples where rights have been violated, denied, or limited.
Highlight in GREEN examples where rights have been protected or granted.
☆ Star the items that make you wonder and wish to explore further.

Team B

Reynolds v. United States, 1879

"In our opinion, the statute immediately under consideration is within the legislative power of Congress. It is constitutional and valid as prescribing a rule of action for all those residing in the Territories, and in places over which the United States have exclusive control. This being so, the only question which remains is whether those who make polygamy a part of their religion are excepted from the operation of the statute. If they are, then those who do not make polygamy a part of their religious belief may be found guilty and punished, while those who do, must be acquitted and go free. This would be introducing a new element into criminal law. Laws are made for the government of actions, and while they cannot interfere with mere religious belief and opinions, they may with practices. Suppose one believed that human sacrifices were a necessary part of religious worship; would it be seriously contended that the civil government under which he lived could not interfere to prevent a sacrifice? Or if a wife religiously believed it was her duty to burn herself upon the funeral pile of her dead husband; would it be beyond the power of the civil government to prevent her carrying her belief into practice?

So here, as a law of the organization of society under the exclusive dominion of the United States, it is provided that plural marriages shall not be allowed. Can a man excuse his practices to the contrary because of his religious belief?

To permit this would be to make the professed doctrines of religious belief superior to the law of the land, and, in effect, to permit every citizen to become a law unto himself. Government could exist only in name under such circumstances."





Inquiry Compelling Question: Does America really have separation of church and state?

Annotation Station

Highlight in YELLOW phrases that confuse you. Use context clues to figure out their meaning.
Highlight in RED examples where rights have been violated, denied, or limited.
Highlight in GREEN examples where rights have been protected or granted.
☆ Star the items that make you wonder and wish to explore further.

Team C

Engel v. Vitale, 1962

"Although these two clauses may in certain instances overlap, they forbid two quite different kinds of governmental encroachment upon religious freedom. The Establishment Clause, unlike the Free Exercise Clause, does not depend upon any showing of direct governmental compulsion, and is violated by the enactment of laws which establish an official religion, whether those laws operate directly to coerce non-observing individuals or not. This is not to say, of course, that laws officially prescribing a particular form of religious worship do not involve coercion of such individuals. When the power, prestige and financial support of government is placed behind a particular religious belief, the indirect coercive pressure upon religious minorities to conform to the prevailing officially approved religion is plain."



Inquiry Compelling Question: Does America really have separation of church and state?

Annotation Station

Highlight in YELLOW phrases that confuse you. Use context clues to figure out their meaning.
Highlight in RED examples where rights have been violated, denied, or limited.
Highlight in GREEN examples where rights have been protected or granted.
☆ Star the items that make you wonder and wish to explore further.

Team D

Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993

The Congress finds that– (1) the framers of the Constitution, recognizing free exercise of religion as an inalienable right, secured its protection in the First Amendment to the Constitution; (2) laws "neutral" toward religion may burden religious exercise as surely as laws intended to interfere with religious exercise; (3) governments should not substantially burden religious exercise without compelling justification; (4) in *Employment Division v. Smith*, 494 U.S. 872 (1990) the Supreme Court virtually eliminated the requirement that the government justify burdens on religious exercise imposed by laws neutral toward religion; and (5) the compelling interest test as set forth in prior Federal court rulings is a workable test for striking sensible balances between religious liberty and competing prior governmental interests.



Inquiry Compelling Question: Does America really have separation of church and state?

Annotation Station

Highlight in YELLOW phrases that confuse you. Use context clues to figure out their meaning.
Highlight in RED examples where rights have been violated, denied, or limited.
Highlight in GREEN examples where rights have been protected or granted.
☆ Star the items that make you wonder and wish to explore further.

Team E:

Kennedy v. Bremerton School District, 2022

Justice Gorsuch delivered the opinion of the Court:

"Joseph Kennedy lost his job as a high school football coach because he knelt at midfield after games to offer a quiet prayer of thanks. Mr. Kennedy prayed during a period when school employees were free to speak with a friend, call for a reservation at a restaurant, check email, or attend to other personal matters. Still, he was disciplined anyway. It did so because it thought anything less could lead a reasonable observer to conclude (mistakenly) that it endorsed Mr. Kennedy's religious beliefs. That reasoning was misguided. Both the Free Exercise and Free Speech Clauses of the First Amendment protect expressions like Mr. Kennedy's. Nor does a proper understanding of the Amendment's Establishment Clause require the government to single out private religious speech for special disfavor. The Constitution and the best of our traditions counsel mutual respect and tolerance, not censorship and suppression, for religious and nonreligious views alike."